

## Message Text

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 OTTAWA 02576 01 OF 02 102312Z

67

ACTION EB-07

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 IO-10 ISO-00 AGR-10 CIAE-00 COME-00

INR-07 LAB-04 NSAE-00 SP-02 STR-04 TRSE-00 CIEP-02

FRB-01 OMB-01 TAR-01 L-03 ( ISO ) W

----- 010755

R 102116Z JUL 75

FM AMEMBASSY OTTAWA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 6922

INFO USMISSION GENEVA

USDEL MTN GENEVA

UNCLAS SECTION 1 OF 2 OTTAWA 2576

PASS STR

PASS AGRICULTURE FAS; ANDERSON/STARKEY

EO 11652 NA

TAGS ETRD EAGR CA

SUBJ FOOD PRICES REVIEW BOARD CONCERN

OVER IMPORT CONTROLS ON EGGS

REF OTTAWA 2510

1. FOLLOWING IS TEXT OF STATEMENT ISSUED JULY 10 BY  
FOOD PRICES REVIEW BOARD WHICH EXPRESSED CONCERN IN  
RATHER SHARP LANGUAGE ABOUT GOC'S IMPOSITION OF  
IMPORT CONTROLS ON EGGS AND SOLICITS GOC ANSWERS  
TO LONG LIST OF QUESTIONS, MANY OF WHICH ARE SAME  
QUESTIONS USG WOULD ASK RE EFFECTIVENESS OF  
SUPPLY-MANAGEMENT SYSTEM, IMPACT ON PRICES AND TRADE  
FLOWS, ETC.

QUOTE:

2. THE FOOD PRICES REVIEW BOARD IS CONCERNED OVER  
THE GOVERNMENT'S RECENT DECISION TO IMPOSE IMPORT  
CONTROLS ON EGGS.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 OTTAWA 02576 01 OF 02 102312Z

3. THESE CONTROLS WERE IMPOSED WITH NO CLEAR PUBLIC EXPLANATION OR JUSTIFICATION AT A TIME WHEN PRICES FOR MANY BASIC FOODS ARE CONTINUING TO RISE AND THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IS CALLING FOR RESPONSIBLE PRICE AND INCOME DECISIONS FROM THE PUBLIC.

4. THE EFFECT OF THE IMPORT QUOTAS WILL BE INCREASED EGG PRICES TO CONSUMERS, PARTICULARLY IN CENTRAL CANADA WHERE PRICES HAVE ALREADY RISEN SUBSTANTIALLY.

5. SOME INCREASE IN EGG PRICES MAY BE JUSTIFIED. IT IS IMPORTANT TO RECOGNIZE THAT THE PRICE OF EGGS DURING THE FIRST SIX MONTHS OF 1975 HAS GENERALLY LAGGED WELL BELOW YEAR EARLIER LEVELS. BOARD ANALYSIS HSOWS THAT EGGS WERE ONE OF THE CHEAPEST SOURCES OF PROTEIN AMONG FOODS ON ITS LIST FOR A NUTRITIOUS DIET.

6. NONETHELESS, THE GOVERNMENT HAS A RESPONSIBILITY TO EXPLAIN, IN DETAIL, REASONS FOR ITS DECISION TO CURB THE FLOW IF IMPORTED EGGS WHICH WILL ENSURE HIGHER PRICES FOR A BASIC COMMODITY IN THE CANADIAN DIET, WHILE RISKING A FURTHER AGGRAVATION OF CANADA-U.S. TRADE RELATIONS.

7. THE BOARD ESPECIALLY CONDEMNS THE RELEASE OF THIS IMPORTANT GOVERNMENT POLICY DECISION IN THE FORM OF A CRYPTIC STATEMENT GIVEN TO THE MEDIA LATE ON FRIDAY AFTERNOON, AFTER THE FINAL QUESTION PERIOD OF THE WEEK IN THE HOUSE OF COMMONS. ANY GOVERNMENT MEASURE LIKELY TO INCREASE FOOD PRICES SHOULD BE ANNOUNCED FIRST IN PARLIAMENT GIVING ELECTED REPRESENTATIVES AN IMMEDIATE OPPORTUNITY FOR FULL DISCUSSION OF THE MATTER.

8. THE BOARD IS RELEASING THIS STATEMENT TO POSE A NUMBER OF QUESTIONS WHICH MUST BE ANSWERED BY THE GOVERNMENT TO MAKE POSSIBLE THOROUGH ANALYSIS OF THE EGG PRICE SITUATION. WITHOUT MORE DETAILED INFORMATION THE BOARD, LIKE THE PUBLIC, CAN ONLY CONTINUE TO SUSPECT THAT THE CONDITIONS FOR SUCCESSFUL NATIONAL EGG MARKETING HAVE NOT YET BEEN MET.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 OTTAWA 02576 01 OF 02 102312Z

9. THE DECISION TO ADD IMPORT CONTROLS AS AN ADDITIONAL TRADE BARRIER OVER AND ABOVE ESTABLISHED TARIFFS HAS BEEN JUSTIFIED ON THE BASIS OF ASSURANCES GIVEN BY THE CANADIAN EGG MARKETING AGENCY (CEMA) THAT EFFECTIVE STEPS HAVE BEEN TAKEN TO IMPLEMENT A SOUND PROGRAM OF SUPPLY MANAGEMENT IN CANADA.

10. SINCE PRICE IS DETERMINED LARGELY BY SUPPLY AND

DEMAND, THE RESTRICTION OF U.S. EGG SUPPLIES AMOUNTS TO THE CREATION OF A MONOPOLY FOR CANADIAN EGG PRODUCERS. SUPPORTERS OF SUPPLY MANAGEMENT STATE THAT SUCH A MONOPOLY SITUATION IS NECESSARY FOR AN EFFECTIVE SUPPLY MANAGEMENT PROGRAM BECAUSE IT LEAVES PRODUCERS FREE TO CONTROL THE AVAILABILITY OF EGGS AND, AS A RESULT, THEIR PRICE. SUCH A SYSTEM, THEY CLAIM, WORKS TO THE ADVANTAGE OF PRODUCERS AND CONSUMERS, ASSURING PRODUCERS OF REASONABLE AND STABLE RETURNS AND CONSUMERS OF AMPLE SUPPLIES AT REASONABLE AND STABLE PRICES.

11. OPPONENTS OF SUPPLY MANAGEMENT, HOWEVER, ARGUE THAT SUPPLY MANAGEMENT WHICH RELIES ON MONOPOLY ENCOURAGES INEFFICIENCY, MAINTAINS UNNECESSARILY HIGH PRICES, PROMOTES WASTE AND GENERALLY ACTS AGAINST THE INTEREST OF CONSUMERS AND EFFICIENT PRODUCERS.

12. ADDITIONALLY, IMPORT CONTROLS ARE CONTRARY TO THE AIMS OF CANADIAN TRADE POLICY. IN ITS RECENT BUDGET, THE GOVERNMENT REAFFIRMED ITS PLEDGE AGAINST THE USE OF TRADE RESTRICTIONS.

13. WITH ITS DECISION TO CONTROL EGG IMPORTS, THE GOVERNMENT HAS DECIDED TO RISK A FURTHER EXPERIMENT WITH CEMA. DESPITE SOME RESERVATIONS, THE BOARD PROPOSES TO ADOPT A NEUTRAL POSITION FOR THE NEXT FEW WEEKS TO GIVE THIS NEW ATTEMPT A CHANCE TO WORK.

14. IN THE MEANTIME, THE BOARD CALLS ON THE GOVERNMENT TO RESPOND TO THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS AS A MEANS OF ASSISTING THE BOARD TO CARRY OUT THE NECESSARY ANALYSIS AND TO HELP THE PUBLIC JUDGE THE NEW EXPERIMENT.  
UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 04 OTTAWA 02576 01 OF 02 102312Z

15. WHY ARE THE CONTENTS OF THE FEDERAL-PROVINCIAL AGREEMENTS ON EGG MARKETING SECRET? THE BOARD CALLS ON THE GOVERNMENT TO MAKE PUBLIC THE TEXTS OF BOTH THE INITIAL AND SUPPLEMENTARY AGREEMENTS.

UNCLASSIFIED

NNN

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 OTTAWA 02576 02 OF 02 102254Z

67

ACTION EB-07

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 IO-10 ISO-00 AGR-10 CIAE-00 COME-00

INR-07 LAB-04 NSAE-00 SP-02 STR-04 TRSE-00 CIEP-02

FRB-01 OMB-01 TAR-01 L-03 ( ISO ) W

----- 010767

R 102116Z JUL 75

FM AMEMBASSY OTTAWA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 6923

INFO USMISSION GENEVA

USDEL MTN GENEVA

UNCLAS SECTION 2 OF 2 OTTAWA 2576

PASS STR

PASS AGRICULTURE FAS; ANDERSON/STARKEY

16. WAS THE GOVERNMENT AWARE AND DID IT CONCUR WITH THE MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE'S USE OF COERCION, IN THREATENING TWO PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENTS -- ALBERTA AND NEW BRUNSWICK -- THAT IF THEY DID NOT SIGN THE AGREEMENTS HE WOULD CONSIDER: (ALL FOLLOWING INNER QUOTATIONS ARE TAKEN FROM THE TEXT OF A TELEX MESSAGE DATED JUNE 25, TO PROVINCIAL AGRICULTURE MINISTERS FROM AGRICULTURE MINISTER WHELAN. TELEX MESSAGE FOLLOWS.)

A) BEGIN INNERQUOTE CONTROL OF MOVEMENT FROM THE TWO TO THE EIGHT END INNERQUOTE (THAT IS, RESTRICTING INTERPROVINCIAL TRADE IN EGGS CONTRARY TO THE BRITISH NORTH AMERICA ACT BY CONTROLLING TRADE FROM ALBERTA AND NEW BRUNSWICK TO OTHER PROVINCES). B) BEGIN INNERQUOTE ADEQUATE MOVEMENT FROM THE EIGHT INTO THE TWO PROVINCES END INNERQUOTE (THAT IS, POSSIBLE FLOODING OF ALBERTA AND NEW BRUNSWICK MARKETS WITH EGGS FROM THE OTHER PROVINCES). C) BEGIN INNERQUOTE PERMISSION FOR MOVEMENT FROM OUTSIDE OF CANADA INTO THE TWO PROVINCES END INNERQUOTE (THAT IS, INSTITUTING A DISCRIMINATORY IMPORT QUOTA POLICY THAT WOULD ALLOW UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 OTTAWA 02576 02 OF 02 102254Z

U.S. EGGS TO ENTER ONLY ALBERTA AND NEW BRUNSWICK).

D) BEGIN INNERQUOTE REMOVAL OF FEDERAL AUTHORITY FOR INDIRECT TAXATION BY THE TWO (PROVINCIAL MARKETING) BOARDS END INNERQUOTE.

17. ON WHAT BASIS ARE EGG PRICES NOT TO BE DETERMINED?

18. IF PRICES ARE BASED ON A COST OF PRODUCTION FORMULA,  
HOW WAS SUCH A FORMULA DERIVED?

19. WHAT STEPS HAVE BEEN TAKEN TO ENSURE THAT PRODUCTION  
LEVELS SET BY CEMA ACCURATELY REFLECT DOMESTIC DEMAND?

20. IF THE PRODUCTION LEVELS DO, IN FACT, REALISTICALLY  
REFLECT DEMAND, WHAT CONTROLS HAVE BEEN INSTITUTED TO  
ENSURE THAT PRODUCTION WILL NOT EXCEED THE LEVELS SET BY CEMA?

21. THE APPARENT LACK OF INTERPROVINCIAL TRADE IN THE  
CANADIAN EGG MARKET HAS BEEN OF PARTICULAR CONCERN GIVEN  
THE MARKED PRICE DIFFERENTIALS EXISTING AMONG THE  
PROVINCES. IN ITS SECOND EGG REPORT OF AUGUST 1974 THE  
BOARD CALLED FOR AN ELIMINATION OF BARRIERS TO INTER-  
PROVINCIAL TRADE. THE SPECIAL PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY  
INTO EGG MARKETING ALSO STRESSED THIS. WHAT STEPS  
HAVE BEEN TAKEN TO ENSURE THAT NO BARRIERS TO INTER-  
PROVINCIAL TRADE WILL OCCUR UNDER THE NEW PROGRAM?

22. HAS CEMA BEEN INSTRUCTED TO ACCOUNT PUBLICLY FOR  
ALL ITS DECISIONS AFFECTING EGG PRICES AND SURPLUS  
REMOVAL?

23. IF U.S. PRICES RISE, WHAT ASSURANCE DOES THE  
CANADIAN CONSUMER HAVE THAT DOMESTIC PRICES WILL NOT  
ALSO RISE? THE FUTURES MARKET FOR U.S. EGGS PREDICTS  
SHARPLY RISING PRICES LATER THIS YEAR. IF A  
JUSTIFICATION FOR SUPPLY MANAGEMENT IS PRICE STABILITY,  
THEN THERE MUST BE SOME ASSURANCE THAT CANADIAN EGGS  
WILL NOT BE EXPORTED TO THE U.S. IN RESPONSE TO  
HIGHER U.S. PRICES, THEREBY INCREASING DOMESTIC PRICES.

24. ACCORDING TO CEMA PROJECTIONS, WHAT WILL BE THE  
UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 OTTAWA 02576 02 OF 02 102254Z

NEW EGG PRICES IN EACH PROVINCE ONCE THE PROGRAM IS  
FULLY OPERATIONAL?

25. WHAT IS THE DIMENSION OF THE CURRENT EGG SURPLUS?

26. WHAT STEPS HAVE BEEN TAKEN TO ENSURE THAT THE  
WASTAGE OF EGGS WHICH OCCURRED IN 1974 WILL NOT BE  
REPEATED?

27. IS IT THE INTENTION OF THE GOVERNMENT, WHILE  
RESTRICTING IMPORTS OF TABLE EGGS, TO DEGRADE CANADIAN

SURPLUS EGGS AND SELL THEM IN EXPORT MARKETS FOR PROCESSING?

28. WILL THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE TO BE APPOINTED TO DIRECT CEMA INCLUDE REPRESENTATIVES OF NON-PRODUCERS AS RECOMMENDED BY THE BOARD IN ITS SECOND EGG REPORT?

29. TELEX FOLLOWS AS MENTIONED IN PARAGRAPH 16:  
RE CEMA RESPONSES TO TELEX OF JUNE NINETEEN  
INDICATE THAT EIGHT PROVINCES AND EIGHT BOARDS WILL  
AGREE TO MY SUGGESTIONS FOR SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT.  
TWO PROVINCES AND TWO BOARDS NAMELY ALBERTA AND  
NEW BRUNSWICK HAVE NOT AGREED. THE ALTERNATIVES OPEN  
ARE: (A) A TEN PROVINCE CEMA UNDER ORIGINAL PLAN  
(B) AN EIGHT PROVINCE CEMA UNDER SUPPLEMENTAL PLAN  
THE FORMER IS NOT A VIABLE OPTION SINCE MOST MINISTERS  
INCLUDING MYSELF AND BOARDS WANT FIRMER CONTROL OF  
PRODUCTION, IMPROVED OPERATIONAL STRUCTURE AND A SYSTEM OF  
UNIFORM PRICING. THE SECOND ALTERNATIVE POSES SOME PROBLEMS.  
TO HAVE BORDER CONTROLS THERE MUST BE CONTROL OF PRODUCTION  
IN CANADA. THEREFORE A WAY MUST BE FOUND TO CONTROL  
PRODUCTION NOT ONLY IN THE EIGHT CEMA PROVINCES BUT  
THE OTHER TWO AS WELL. A NUMBER OF AVENUES COULD BE  
CONSIDERED NAMELY CONTROL OF MOVEMENT FROM THE TWO TO THE  
EIGHT, ADEQUATE MOVEMENT FROM EIGHT INTO THE TWO  
PROVINCES, PERMISSION FOR MOVEMENT FROM OUTSIDE OF CANADA  
INTO THE TWO PROVINCES, AND REMOVAL OF FEDERAL AUTHORITY  
FOR INDIRECT TAXATION BY THE TWO BOARDS. NONE OF THESE  
APPEALS TO ME AND ACCEPTANCE BY TWO FURTHER PROVINCES WOULD  
MAKE CONSIDERATION OF SUCH AVENUES UNNECESSARY. IT IS CLEAR  
UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 04 OTTAWA 02576 02 OF 02 102254Z

THAT THERE CAN BE TEN PROVINCES IF SUGGESTIONS MY TELEX  
JUNE 19 ARE ACCEPTED. THE ONLY ACTION NECESSARY BY THE  
ALBERTA GOVERNMENT IS TO ACCEPT SUGGESTION RE ADDENDUM  
ITEM 9 AND SIGN. THE ONLY ACTION NECESSARY BY  
NEW BRUNSWICK IS TO AGREE TO REMOVAL OF  
ADDENDUM ITEM 8 AND SIGN. I URGE ALBERTA AND  
NEW BRUNSWICK GOVERNMENTS AND BOARDS TO ACCEPT THESE  
SUGGESTIONS SO THAT WE CAN HAVE A TEN PROVINCE CEMA  
OPERATING UNDER THE SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT. I ASK  
FOR PROMPT REPLIES FROM ALBERTA AND NEW BRUNSWICK SO  
THAT I CAN TAKE ACTION AT THE BORDER WHICH WILL ALLOW  
FOR REASONABLE PRICES THROUGHOUT CANADA. UNQUOTE.  
JOHNSON

UNCLASSIFIED

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** IMPORT QUOTAS, EGGS, PRICES  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 10 JUL 1975  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** n/a  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** n/a  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:**  
**Disposition Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1975OTTAWA02576  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** N/A  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** D750239-0820  
**From:** OTTAWA  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1975/newtext/t19750769/aaaacjmw.tel  
**Line Count:** 326  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Office:** ACTION EB  
**Original Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 6  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** 75 OTTAWA 2510  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** SmithRJ  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 08 JAN 2003  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <08 JAN 2003 by ThomasVJ>; APPROVED <19 MAR 2004 by SmithRJ>  
**Review Markings:**

Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
06 JUL 2006

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** FOOD PRICES REVIEW BOARD CONCERN OVER IMPORT CONTROLS ON EGGS  
**TAGS:** ETRD, EAGR, CA  
**To:** STATE  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006